

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/021,517 10/29/2001 Colin P. Hart 1001.1430101 8623 28075 7590 09/23/2003 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC **EXAMINER** 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE BROWN, MICHAEL A SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3764 5 DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.  10 021,517 Colim Hart 272/  Examiner Group Art Unit  Michael Brown 3 264
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) (-19	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)————	requirement.
Application Papers	·
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies o</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the CERTIFIED copies o</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Interest of the In</li></ul>	the priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	o(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 10/021,517 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18 are, drawn to a dual balloon valve, classified in class 128, subclass 885.
  - II. Claim 19, is drawn to a pressure indicator, classified in class 73, subclass 729.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that
  they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation,
  different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the
  different inventions because Group 1 can be used to inject die into a patient's body without using
  Group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ywa "manji

Application/Control Number: 10/021,517

Art Unit: 3764

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr.. Glenn M. Seager on September 12, 2003 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown

September 22, 2003

Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Milaly Be

Page 3